

Some Fixed Point Results through Semi Compatibility & Weakly Compatibility in 2-Banach Space

B. Stephen John
Associate Professor,
Department of Mathematics
Annai Velankanni College,
Tholayavattom, Kanyakumari District,
(T.N.), India – 629 157.
stephenjohn1963@gmail.com

S.N. Leena Nelson
Assistant Professor,
Department of Mathematics
Women's Christian College,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District,
(T.N.), India – 629 001.
leena.wcc@gmail.com

Abstract:

. This paper deals with few common fixed point results through semi compatibility in complete 2-Banach space which generalize the results of Som (2005), Amalendu Choudhury and T. Som (2011) and Mukti Gangopadhyay, Mantu Saha & A.P. Baisnab (2009).

Key Words:

Compatible maps, Semi-compatible maps, weak compatible maps, 2-Banach Space, Convergent sequence, Cauchy sequence and fixed point.

1. Introduction:

Theory of 2-Banach spaces was investigated by S. Gahler and K. Iseki who had proved some fixed point theorems in 2-Banach spaces. Y.J. Cho, N. Huang and X. Long proved some fixed point theorems for nonlinear mappings in 2-Banach spaces. M.S. Khan and M.D. Khan worked for Involutions with fixed points in 2-Banach spaces. In this concept some basic fixed point results are given by Gahler in 1960. In this paper we achieved some results in fixed point theory in a 2-Banach space by working with semi compatibility in a complete 2-Banach space.

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1 Let X is a real linear space and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ be a non-negative real valued function defined on X satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\|x, y\| = 0$ If and only if x and y are linearly dependent.
- (ii) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iii) $\|x, ay\| = |a|\|x, y\|$, a being real and for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iv) $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|y, z\|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a 2-norm and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a linear 2-normed space.

So a 2-norm $\|x, y\|$ always satisfies $\|x, y + ax\| = \|x, y\|$, for all $x, y \in X$ and all scalars a .

Definition 2.2

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is said to be convergent to an element $x \in X$ if $\|x_n - x, a\| = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and for all $a \in X$.

Definition 2.3

If the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x then $\|x_n - a, b\| = \|x - a, b\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and for all $a, b \in X$.

Definition 2.4

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a Cauchy sequence if $\|x_m - x_n, a\| = 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and for all $a \in X$.

Definition 2.5

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent sequence in a 2-normed linear space, then it is a Cauchy sequence.

Definition 2.6

A 2-normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 2.7

Two maps T and S are said to be commuting if $TSx = STx$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.8

Let T and S be two self maps on a set X , if $Tx = Sx$ for some $x \in X$ then x is called coincidence point of T and S .

Definition 2.9

Let X is a 2-Banach space. T and S are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points. i.e., $Tx = Sx$ for some $x \in X \Rightarrow TSx = STx$.

Definition 2.10

Two self mappings T and S of a 2-Banach space X are called compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|STx_n - TSx_n, a\| = 0$ for all $a \in X$, when $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_n Sx_n = \lim_n Tx_n = x$ for some $x \in X$.

Definition 2.11

Two self mappings $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ are said to be semi-compatible if $\lim_n \|TSx_n - Sx, a\| = 0$ for all $a \in X$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_n Sx_n = \lim_n Tx_n = x$ for some $x \in X$.

Definition 2.12

Let $\diamond: R^+ \times R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ be a binary operation satisfying the following conditions:

1. \diamond is associative and commutative
2. \diamond is continuous.

Definition 2.13

The binary operation \diamond is said to be satisfy α -property if there exists a positive real number α such that $a \diamond b \leq \alpha \max\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in R^+$.

Propositions

3.1: If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-normed linear space converges to x then every subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ also converges to the same limit x .

3.2: Limit of a sequence in a 2-normed linear space if it exists is unique.

3.3: Semi-compatibility of the pair (A, B) does not imply semi-compatibility of the pair (B, A) . Hence, weak compatibility need not imply the semi-compatibility.

3.4: Compatibility does not imply semi-compatibility. A Class of Implicit Relation

Let F_5 be the class of upper semi-continuous functions on the right from $(R^+)^5 \rightarrow R$, such that for some $h \in (0,1)$

- (i) $F(u, v, u, u, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow v \leq hu$
- (ii) $F(u, v, v, u, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow v \leq hu$
- (iii) $F(u, 0, u, u, 0) \geq 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$.

Theorems 4.1:

Let A, B, S and T is self maps of 2-Banach space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ satisfying the following:

- (1.1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (1.2) There exists $h \in (0,1)$ such that $\|Ax - By, a\| \leq h[\max\{\|Sx - Ax, a\|, \|Ty - By, a\|, \|Sx - Ty, a\|, \|Ty - Ax, a\|, \|Sx - By, a\|\}]$ for all $x, y, a \in X$.
- (1.3) The pair (A, S) is semi-compatible and the pair (B, T) is weak compatible.
- (1.4) One of $A(X), T(X), B(X)$ or $S(X)$ is complete.
- (1.5) There exists $F \in F_5$ such that

$$F[\|Ax - By, a\|, \|Ax - Ty, a\|, \|Sy - By, a\|, \|Ax - Sy, a\|, \|By - Ty, a\|] \geq 0$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let x_0 be any point in X , then by condition (1.1) there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Ty_1 = y_0$ and $Bx_1 = Sx_2 = y_1$.

Inductively, we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ----- (1.6)

Putting $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ in (1.2), we get,
 $\|Ax_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}, a\| \leq h[\max\{\|Sx_{2n} - Ax_{2n}, a\|, \|Tx_{2n+1} - Bx_{2n+1}, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}, a\|, \|Tx_{2n+1} - Ax_{2n}, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}, a\|\}]$
 $\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\| \leq h[\max\{\|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}, a\|, \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\|, \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}, a\|, \|y_{2n} - y_{2n}, a\|, \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}, a\|\}]$
 $\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\| \leq h[\max\{\|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}, a\|, \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\|\}]$

If $\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\| \geq \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}, a\|$ then $\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\| \leq h\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\|$,

This is a contradiction.

Hence, $\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\| \leq h\|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}, a\|$.

Similarly, $\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n+2}, a\| \leq h\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}, a\|$.

Therefore, for all n even or odd, $\|y_n - y_{n+1}, a\| \leq h\|y_{n-1} - y_n, a\|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq h^2\|y_{n-2} - y_{n-1}, a\| \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\leq h^n\|y_0 - y_1, a\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy Sequence.

Case (i)

Suppose that $S(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then the sequence $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n+1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $S(X)$ and hence it has a limit z (say).

Consequently its subsequence also converges to z .

Now, $z \in S(X)$, so there exists $w \in X$ such that $z = Sw$.

Since, (A, S) are semi-compatible, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Sz$.

Step I. putting $x = Sx_{2n}$, $y = x_{n+1}$ in (1.5), we get

$$F\left[\begin{matrix} \|ASx_n - Bx_{n+1}, a\|, \|ASx_n - Tx_{n+1}, a\|, \|Sx_n - Bx_{n+1}, a\|, \\ \|ASx_n - Sx_{n+1}, a\|, \|Bx_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}, a\| \end{matrix}\right] \geq 0$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$F[\|Sz - z, a\|, \|Sz - z, a\|, \|z - z, a\|, \|Sz - z, a\|, \|z - z, a\|] \geq 0$$

That is, $F[\|Sz - z, a\|, \|Sz - z, a\|, 0, \|Sz - z, a\|, 0] \geq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow Sz = z.$$

Step II. Putting $x = z$, $y = x_{n+1}$ in (1.2), we get

$$\|Az - Bx_{n+1}, a\| \leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{matrix} \|Sz - Az, a\|, \|Tx_{n+1} - Bx_{n+1}, a\|, \\ \|Sz - Tx_{n+1}, a\|, \|Tx_{n+1} - Az, a\|, \|Sz - Bx_{n+1}, a\| \end{matrix} \right\} \right]$$

Taking as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\|Az - z, a\| \leq h[\max\{\|z - Az, a\|, 0, 0, \|z - Az, a\|, 0\}]$

$$\Rightarrow Az = z = Sz.$$

Step III. As $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists some $u \in X$, such that $z = Az = Tu$.

Putting $x = x_{2n}$, $y = u$ in (1.2), we have

$$\|Ax_{2n} - Bu, a\| \leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{matrix} \|Sx_{2n} - As_{2n}, a\|, \|Tu - Bu, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Tu, a\|, \\ \|Tu - Ax_{2n}, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Bu, a\| \end{matrix} \right\} \right]$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Bu, a\| &\leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|z - z, a\|, \|z - Bu, a\|, \|z - z, a\|, \\ \|z - z, a\|, \|z - Bu, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right] \\ &= h [\max\{0, \|z - Bu, a\|, 0, 0, \|z - Bu, a\|\}] \end{aligned}$$

This implies $Bu = z = Tu$.

But (B, T) is weak compatible so $BTu = TBu$ i.e., $Bz = Tz$.

Step IV. By putting $x = z, y = z$ in (1.2) and assuming that $Az \neq Bz$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Az - Bz, a\| &\leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|Sz - Az, a\|, \|Tz - Bz, a\|, \|Sz - Tz, a\|, \\ \|Tz - Az, a\|, \|Sz - Bz, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right] \\ &= h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|z - z, a\|, \|Bz - Bz, a\|, \|Az - Bz, a\|, \\ \|Bz - Az, a\|, \|Az - Bz, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\|Az - Bz, a\| \leq h\|Az - Bz, a\|$

This is a contradiction

Therefore, $Az = Bz = z$.

Combining all the results we get $z = Az = Sz = Bz = Tz$. Thus in this case z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Case (ii) . Let $T(X)$ be complete. Then $y_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ converges to some $z \in T(X)$. Hence there exists $w \in X$, such that $z = Tw$.

Step I. Putting $x = x_{2n}, y = w$ in (1.2), we get

$$\|Ax_{2n} - Bw, a\| \leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|Sx_{2n} - Ax_{2n}, a\|, \|Tw - Bw, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Tw, a\|, \\ \|Tw - Ax_{2n}, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Bw, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|z - Bw, a\| \leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|z - z, a\|, \|z - Bw, a\|, \|z - z, a\|, \\ \|z - z, a\|, \|z - Bw, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow Bw = z = Tw.$$

But (B, T) is weak compatible, so $BTw = TBw$. That is $Bz = Tz$.

Step II. As (A, S) is semi compatible so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Sz$.

Putting $x = Sx_{2n}, y = z$ in (1.5), we get

$$F \left[\begin{array}{l} \|ASx_n - Bz, a\|, \|ASx_n - Tz, a\|, \|Sz - Bz, a\|, \\ \|ASx_n - Sz, a\|, \|Bz - Tz, a\| \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$F [\|Sz - Bz, a\|, \|Sz - Tz, a\|, \|Bz - Bz, a\|, \|Sz - Sz, a\|, \|Sz - Bz, a\|] \geq 0$$

That is, $F [\|Sz - Bz, a\|, \|Sz - Tz, a\|, 0, 0] \geq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow Sz = Bz = Tz.$$

Step III. By putting $x = y = z$ in (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Az - Bz, a\| &\leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|Sz - Az, a\|, \|Tz - Bz, a\|, \|Sz - Tz, a\|, \\ \|Tz - Az, a\|, \|Sz - Bz, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right] \\ &= h[\max\{\|Sz - Az, a\|, 0, 0, \|Bz - Az, a\|, 0\}] \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\|Az - Bz, a\| \leq h[\max\{\|Sz - Az, a\|, 0, 0, \|Bz - Az, a\|, 0\}]$

Thus, $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz$.

Step IV. Putting $x = x_{2n}, y = z$ in (1.2), we have

$$\|Ax_{2n} - Bz, a\| \leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|Sx_{2n} - Ax_{2n}, a\|, \|Tz - Bz, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Tz, a\|, \\ \|Tz - Ax_{2n}, a\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Bz, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Bz, a\| &\leq h \left[\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|z - z, a\|, \|Bz - Bz, a\|, \|z - Bz, a\|, \\ \|Bz - z, a\|, \|z - Bz, a\| \end{array} \right\} \right] \\ &= h[\max\{0, 0, \|z - Bz, a\|\}] \\ &\Rightarrow Bz = z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz$.

Thus in this case, z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Case (iii). If either $A(X)$ or $B(X)$ is complete.

As $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, therefore, the result follows from Case (i) and Case (ii).

From condition (1.2) we get the uniqueness.

Theorem 4.2: Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a 2-banach space such that \diamond satisfy α -property with $\alpha \geq 0$. Let A, B, S, T be self mappings of X into itself satisfy the following conditions:

1. $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and $T(X)$ and $S(X)$ are closed subset of X
2. The pair (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.
3. $\|Ax - By, u\| \leq K_1[\|Sx - Ty, u\| \diamond \|Ax - Sx, u\|] + K_2[\|Sx - Ty, u\| \diamond \|By - Ty, u\|] + K_3[\|Sx - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2}\{\|Sx - By, u\| + \|Ax - Ty, u\|\}]$ for all x, y in X , where $K_1, K_2, K_3 > 0$ and $0 < K_1 + K_2 + K_3 < 1$, Then A, B, S, T have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X .

We find a sequence $\{Y_N\}$ in X such that $Y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $Y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (2.1)

We claim that $\{Y_N\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Using (3), we get $\|Y_{2n} - Y_{2n+1}, u\| = \|Ax_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}, u\| \leq K_1[\|Sx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}, u\| \diamond \|Ax_{2n} - Sx_{2n}, u\|] + K_2[\|Sx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}, u\| \diamond \|Bx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+1}, u\|] + K_3[\|Sx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2}\{\|Sx_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}, u\| + \|Ax_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}, u\|\}]$

$$=K_1[\|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n}, u\| \diamond \|Y_{2n} - Y_{2n-1}, u\|] + K_2[\|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n}, u\| \diamond \|Y_{2n+1} - Y_{2n}, u\|] + K_3 \left[\|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n}, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n+1}, u\| + \|Y_{2n} - Y_{2n}, u\| \} \right]$$

Thus $\|Y_{2n} - Y_{2n+1}, u\| \leq K_1[\|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n}, u\| \diamond \|Y_{2n} - Y_{2n-1}, u\|] + K_2[\|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n}, u\| \diamond \|Y_{2n+1} - Y_{2n}, u\|] + K_3 \left[\|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n}, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n+1}, u\| + \|Y_{2n} - Y_{2n}, u\| \} \right]$ ----- (2.2)

Put $d_n = \|Y_{n-1} - Y_n, u\|$ ----- (2.3)

Then, the above inequality becomes,

$$d_{2n+1} \leq K_1[d_{2n} \diamond d_{2n}] + K_2[d_{2n} \diamond d_{2n+1}] + K_3 \left[d_{2n} \diamond \frac{1}{2} \|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n+1}, u\| \right]$$

$$d_{2n+1} \leq K_1 \alpha d_{2n} + K_2 \alpha \max[d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}] + K_3 \alpha \max \left[d_{2n}, \frac{1}{2} \|Y_{2n-1} - Y_{2n} + Y_{2n} - Y_{2n+1}, u\| \right]$$

$$\leq K_1 \alpha d_{2n} + K_2 \alpha \max[d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}] + K_3 \alpha \max \left[d_{2n}, \frac{1}{2} \{ d_{2n}, d_{2n+1} \} \right]$$

If $d_{2n+1} > d_{2n}$ then $d_{2n+1} < K_1 \alpha d_{2n+1} + K_2 \alpha d_{2n+1} + K_3 \alpha d_{2n+1}$
 $d_{2n+1} < \alpha(K_1 + K_2 + K_3)d_{2n+1} \Rightarrow d_{2n+1} < d_{2n+1}$

This is a contradiction. That is $d_{2n+1} < d_{2n}$. Hence, $d_{2n} < d_{2n-1}$.

Therefore, $d_n \leq d_{n-1}$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$d_n \leq \alpha(K_1 + K_2 + K_3)d_{n-1} \Rightarrow d_n \leq K d_{n-1}, \text{ where } K = \alpha(K_1 + K_2 + K_3) < 1$$

By iteration n times, we get $d_n \leq K d_{n-1} \leq K^2 d_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq K^n d_0$.

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Y_{n-1} - Y_n, u\| = 0$ ----- (2.4)

Let $m > n$ such that $m = 2n + 1$

We claim that $\{Y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that n is the least integer for which $\|Y_n - Y_m, u\| \geq \varepsilon$

But $\|Y_{n-1} - Y_m, u\| < \varepsilon$ ----- (2.5)

Now $\varepsilon < \|Y_n - Y_m, u\| \leq \|Y_n - Y_m, u\| + \|Y_n - Y_{n-1}, u\| + \|Y_{n-1} - Y_m, u\|$ ----- (2.6)

Now taking the term $\|Y_n - Y_m, Y_{n-1}\|$

$$\|Y_n - Y_m, Y_{n-1}\| = \|Ax_n - Bx_m, Y_{n-1}\| \leq K_1[\|Sx_n - Tx_m, Y_{n-1}\| \diamond \|Ax_n - Sx_n, Y_{n-1}\|]$$

$$+ K_2[\|Sx_n - Tx_m, Y_{n-1}\| \diamond \|Bx_m - Tx_m, Y_{n-1}\|]$$

$$+ K_3 \left[\|Sx_n - Tx_m, Y_{n-1}\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Sx_n - Bx_m, Y_{n-1}\| + \|Ax_n - Tx_m, Y_n\| \} \right]$$

$$= K_1[\|Y_{n-1} - Y_{m-1}, Y_{n-1}\| \diamond \|Y_n - Y_{m-1}, Y_{n-1}\|] + K_2[\|Y_{n-1} - Y_{m-1}, Y_{n-1}\| \diamond \|Y_m - Y_{m-1}, Y_{n-1}\|]$$

$$+ K_3 \left[\|Y_{n-1} - Y_{m-1}, Y_{n-1}\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Y_{n-1} - Y_m, Y_{n-1}\| + \|Y_n - Y_m, Y_{n-1}\| \} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Y_n - Y_m, Y_{n-1}\| \leq K_2 \alpha \|Y_{n-1} - Y_m, Y_{m-1}\| + K_3 \alpha^2 \|Y_{n-1} - Y_m, Y_n\|$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2) and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\|Y_n - Y_m, Y_{n-1}\| = 0$ -----(2.7)

Substituting (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) in (2.6) we get $\varepsilon < \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction.

Hence, $\{Y_n\}$ is a Cauchy Sequence.

Since X is a complete 2-metric space, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = Y \in X$ (2.8)

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+1} = Y$
 (2.9)

Since, $T(x)$ is a closed subset of X then there exist $v \in X$ such that $Tv = Y$.

If $Bv \neq y$ then by using (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_{2n} - Bv, u\| &\leq K_1[\|Sx_{2n} - Tv, u\| \diamond \|Ax_{2n} - Sx_{2n}, u\|] \\ &\quad + K_2[\|Sx_{2n} - Tv, u\| \diamond \|Bv - Tv, u\|] + \\ &\quad K_3 \left[\|Sx_{2n} - Tv, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Sx_{2n} - Bv, u\| + \|Ax_{2n} - Tv, u\| \} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - Bv, u\| &\leq K_1[\|y - y, u\| \diamond \|y - y, u\|] + K_2[\|y - y, u\| \diamond \|Bv - y, u\|] \\ &\quad + K_3 \left[\|y - y, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|y - Bv, u\| + \|y - y, u\| \} \right] \\ &= K_1[0 \diamond 0] + K_2[0 \diamond \|Bv - y, u\|] + K_3 \left[0 \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|y - Bv, u\| + 0 \} \right] \\ &\leq K_1\alpha[\max\{0, 0\}] + K_2\alpha[\max\{0, \|Bv - y, u\|\}] + K_3\alpha[\max\{0, \|y - Bv, u\|\}] \\ &= K_2\alpha\|Bv - y, u\| + K_3\alpha\|y - Bv, u\| \\ &= (K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|y - Bv, u\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|y - Bv, u\| \leq (K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|y - Bv, u\|$

$$\Rightarrow \|y - Bv, u\| \leq \|y - Bv, u\|$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $Bv = y = Tv$.

Since B and T are weakly compatible,

$$BTv = TBv \Rightarrow By = Ty. \quad \text{..... (2.10)}$$

Now if $y \neq By$ then by using (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_{2n} - By, u\| &\leq K_1[\|Sx_{2n} - Ty, u\| \diamond \|Ax_{2n} - Sx_{2n}, u\|] \\ &\quad + K_2[\|Sx_{2n} - Ty, u\| \diamond \|By - Ty, u\|] + \\ &\quad K_3 \left[\|Sx_{2n} - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Sx_{2n} - By, u\| + \|Ax_{2n} - Ty, u\| \} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y - By, u\| &\leq K_1[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond \|y - y, u\|] + K_2[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond \|By - Ty, u\|] \\
 &\quad + K_3 \left[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|y - By, u\| + \|y - Ty, u\| \} \right] \\
 &= K_1[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond 0] + K_2[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond 0] \\
 &\quad + K_3 \left[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|y - By, u\| + \|y - Ty, u\| \} \right] \\
 &= K_1[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond 0] + K_2[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond 0] \\
 &\quad + K_3 \left[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|y - Ty, u\| + \|y - Ty, u\| \} \right] \\
 &= K_1[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond 0] + K_2[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond 0] + K_3[\|y - Ty, u\| \diamond \|y - Ty, u\|] \\
 &\leq K_1\alpha[\max(\|y - Ty, u\|, 0)] + K_2\alpha[\max(\|y - Ty, u\|, 0)] \\
 &\quad + K_3\alpha[\max(\|y - Ty, u\|, \|y - Ty, u\|)] \\
 &= K_1\alpha\|y - Ty, u\| + K_2\alpha\|y - Ty, u\| + K_3\alpha\|y - Ty, u\| \\
 &= (K_1 + K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|y - Ty, u\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|y - Ty, u\| \leq (K_1 + K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|y - Ty, u\|$

That is, $\|y - By, u\| \leq (K_1 + K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|y - By, u\|$

$$\Rightarrow \|y - By, u\| \leq \|y - By, u\|$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $By = y = Ty$.

Since, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ there exists $w \in X$ such that $Sw = y$ (2.11)

If $Aw \neq y$ then by using (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Aw - By, u\| &\leq K_1[\|Sw - Ty, u\| \diamond \|Aw - Sw, u\|] \\
 &\quad + K_2[\|Sw - Ty, u\| \diamond \|By - Ty, u\|] + \\
 &\quad K_3 \left[\|Sw - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Sw - By, u\| + \|Aw - Ty, u\| \} \right] \\
 &\leq K_1[\|y - y, u\| \diamond \|Aw - y, u\|] \\
 &\quad + K_2[\|y - y, u\| \diamond \|y - y, u\|] + \\
 &\quad K_3 \left[\|y - y, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|y - y, u\| + \|Aw - y, u\| \} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Aw - y, u\| &\leq K_1[\|y - y, u\| \diamond \|Aw - y, u\|] + K_2[0 \diamond 0] + K_3 \left[0 \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ 0 + \|Aw - y, u\| \} \right] \\
 &\leq K_1\alpha[\max(0, \|Aw - y, u\|)] + K_2\alpha[\max(0, 0)] + K_3\alpha[\max(0, \|Aw - y, u\|)] \\
 &= K_1\alpha\|Aw - y, u\| + K_3\alpha\|Aw - y, u\| \\
 &= K_1\alpha\|Aw - y, u\| + K_3\alpha\|Aw - y, u\| \\
 &= (K_1 + K_3)\alpha\|Aw - y, u\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Aw - y, u\| \leq (K_1 + K_3)\alpha\|Aw - y, u\|$

$$\Rightarrow \|Aw - y, u\| \leq \|Aw - y, u\|$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $Aw = y \Rightarrow Sw = y = Aw$.

Since S and A are weakly compatible,

$$ASw = SAw \Rightarrow Sy = Ay. \quad \dots (2.12)$$

If $Ay \neq y$ then by using (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ay - y, u\| &= \|Ay - By, u\| \leq K_1[\|Sy - Ty, u\| \diamond \|Ay - Sy, u\|] \\ &\quad + K_2[\|Sy - Ty, u\| \diamond \|By - Ty, u\|] + \\ &\quad K_3 \left[\|Sy - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Sy - By, u\| + \|Ay - Ty, u\| \} \right] \\ &= K_1[\|Ay - y, u\| \diamond \|Ay - Ay, u\|] \\ &\quad + K_2[\|Ay - y, u\| \diamond \|y - y, u\|] + \\ &\quad K_3 \left[\|Ay - y, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Ay - y, u\| + \|Ay - y, u\| \} \right] \\ \|Ay - y, u\| &\leq K_1[\|Ay - y, u\| \diamond 0] + K_2[\|Ay - y, u\| \diamond 0] \\ &\quad + K_3[\|Ay - y, u\| \diamond \|Ay - y, u\|] \\ &\leq K_1\alpha[\max\{0, \|Ay - y, u\|\}] + K_2\alpha[\max\{0, \|Ay - y, u\|\}] + K_3\alpha[\max\{0, \|Ay - y, u\|\}] \\ &= K_1\alpha\|Ay - y, u\| + K_2\alpha\|Ay - y, u\| + K_3\alpha\|Ay - y, u\| \\ &= (K_1 + K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|Ay - y, u\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Ay - y, u\| \leq (K_1 + K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|Ay - y, u\|$
 $\Rightarrow \|Ay - y, u\| \leq \|Ay - y, u\|$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $Ay = y \Rightarrow Sy = y = Ay. \quad \dots (2.13)$

Using all these, we get

$$Ay = By = Sy = Ty = y.$$

That is, y is a common fixed point for A, B, S, T .

Uniqueness:

Let A, B, S, T have another fixed point x (say) then $\|x - y, u\| = \|Ax - Bx, u\|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K_1[\|Sx - Ty, u\| \diamond \|Ax - Sx, u\|] + K_2[\|Sx - Ty, u\| \diamond \|By - Ty, u\|] \\ &\quad + K_3 \left[\|Sx - Ty, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|Sx - By, u\| + \|Ax - Ty, u\| \} \right] \\ &\leq K_1[\|x - y, u\| \diamond \|x - x, u\|] + K_2[\|x - y, u\| \diamond \|y - y, u\|] \\ &\quad + K_3 \left[\|x - y, u\| \diamond \frac{1}{2} \{ \|x - y, u\| + \|x - y, u\| \} \right] \\ &= K_1[\|x - y, u\| \diamond 0] + K_2[\|x - y, u\| \diamond 0] + K_3[\|x - y, u\| \diamond \|x - y, u\|] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq K_1\alpha[\max\{\|x - y, u\|, 0\}] + K_2\alpha[\max\{\|x - y, u\|, 0\}] \\
 &\quad + K_3\alpha[\max\{\|x - y, u\|, \|x - y, u\|\}] \\
 &= K_1\alpha\|x - y, u\| + K_2\alpha\|x - y, u\| + K_3\alpha\|x - y, u\| \\
 &= (K_1 + K_2 + K_3)\alpha\|x - y, u\| \\
 \Rightarrow \|x - y, u\| &\leq \|x - y, u\|
 \end{aligned}$$

This is again a contradiction. These contradictions imply that A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 4.3

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a 2-banach space. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X into itself satisfy the following conditions:

1. $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and $T(X)$ and $S(X)$ are closed subset of X
2. The pair (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.
3. $\|Ax - By, z\| \leq K_1[\|Sx - Ty, z\| + \|Ax - Sx, z\|] + K_2[\|Sx - Ty, z\| + \|By - Ty, z\|] + K_3 \left[\|Sx - Ty, z\| + \frac{\|Sx - By, u\| + \|Ax - Ty, u\|}{2} \right]$ For all x, y in X , where $K_1, K_2, K_3 > 0$ and $0 < K_1 + K_2 + K_3 < \frac{1}{2}$. Then A, B, S, T have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Define $a \diamond b = a + b$ for each $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then for $\alpha \geq 2$, we have $a \diamond b \leq \alpha \max\{a, b\}$. Putting $\alpha = 2$, we get $0 < \alpha(K_1 + K_2 + K_3) < 1$, and all conditions of above theorem hold. Therefore A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

References:

1. Amalendu Choudhury and T. Som (2011) : 2-Banach space and some fixed point results, *Journal of Indian Acad. Math.* Vol. 33, No.2, pp. 411-418.
2. V.H. Badshah, Rekha Jain and Saurabh Jain (2011): Common fixed point theorem for four mappings in Complete spaces, *Journal of Indian Acad. Math* Vol. 33, No.1, pp.97-103.
3. Iseki K (1976): Fixed Point theorems in Banach Spaces, *Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ.* pp. 211-213.
4. Khan M.S., Khan M.D. (1993): Involutions with fixed points in 2- Banach Spaces, *Int. J. Math & Math.Sci.* 16, pp. 429-34.
5. Sabhakant Dwivedi, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Rajesh Shrivastava (2009) : Common fixed point theorem for two mappings in 2-Banach Spaces, *International Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol. 3, no. 18, pp. 889-896.
6. Som T (2005) : Some fixed point results in 2-Banach space, *International Jour. Math. Sci.* 4(2), pp. 323-328.
7. Thoades B.E. (1979): Contraction type mappings on a 2-metric space, *Math. Nachr.* 91 pp. 151-155.
8. Yadava R.N., Rajput S.S. and Bhardwaj R.K. (2007): "Some fixed point and common fixed point theorems in Banach Spaces", *Acta Ciencia Indica* 33 No. 2, pp. 453-460.

9. *Yadava R.N., Rajput S.S., Choudhary, S. and Bhardwaj R.K. (2007): "Some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for non-contraction mapping on 2-Banach Spaces", Acta Ciencia Indica 33 No. 3, pp. 737-744.*

IJSER